

1 2 3 **Entered on Docket** February 15, 2006 4 Hon. Gregg W. Zive **United States Bankruptcy Judge** 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 12 13 Case No. 06-50026-GWZ 14 (Chapter 11) 15 In re: FINDINGS OF FACT AND 16 BLUE BIRD BODY COMPANY, et al., CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ORDER APPROVING DISCLOSURE 17 STATEMENT AND CONFIRMING PLAN Debtors. OF REORGANIZATION 18 19 Date of Hearing: January 27, 2006 Time of Hearing: 4:00 p.m. (PST) 20 21 On January 26, 2006 (the "Petition Date"), Blue Bird Body Company ("Blue Bird") and certain 22 of its affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (the "Debtors"), filed 23 voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 24 101-1532, as amended (the "Bankruptcy Code"). The Debtors are proponents of the Joint 25 Prepackaged Plan Of Reorganization Of Blue Bird Body Company And Certain Affiliates, dated 26 January 24, 2006 (Docket No. 4). 27 ///

I. BACKGROUND

The evidence adduced at the hearing of January 27, 2006, declarations, testimony, and written exhibits that were undisputed established the following factual context for these unusual bankruptcy cases and procedures.

Since September 2005, following the Debtors' conclusion that they were financing more bank debt than they could support, the Debtors, the Lenders (also referred to as the "Bank Group"), and their equity security holders have worked to restructure the Debtors' balance sheet outside of Chapter 11. Since January 3, 2006, following the abandonment by Volvo (as defined in Section 1.49 of the Prepackaged Joint Plan of Reorganization) of its plans to purchase of the equity held by the Debtors' lenders, the Debtors discussed with their Lenders and equity security holders all available restructuring alternatives in turn, which included a complete out-of-court restructuring, a sale, a traditional Chapter 11 case and a liquidation.

The Debtors and the majority of their Lenders and equity security holders concluded that an out-of-court restructuring proved the most viable and sensible of the Debtors' available restructuring alternatives. Under the out-of-court restructuring (the "Out-Of-Court Restructuring") pursued by the Debtors, the Lenders were to acquire the Debtors' equity interests from its largest shareholder, Volvo, and the other small equity holders, followed by the extension of additional financing to the Debtors by a subset of their existing Lenders. On January 18, 2006, the Debtors reached an understanding with all but one Lender (Newstart Factors, Inc. ("Newstart")) on the terms of the Out-Of-Court Restructuring, although at all relevant times since late 2005, Newstart had the same opportunity to participate as, had access to the same information as, and in fact participated in substantially all of the restructuring discussions with, the other members of the Bank Group. In the time leading up to the decision to pursue the Out-Of-Court Restructuring and since such time, the Debtors and the Lenders conducted frequent telephonic conference calls at which Newstart actively participated by asking questions and by attempting to convince other Lenders to pursue a different strategy.

On January 20, 2006, counsel for the Debtors, Mr. Jay Goffman of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, had a telephone conversation with Mr. Jim Bennett, a principal of Newstart,

1 2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

consent by the Lenders compelled the Debtors to utilize the Chapter 11 process as a means to consummate the transaction contemplated by the Out-Of-Court Restructuring. On January 20, 2006, prior to the January 26, 2006 Petition Date, the Debtors provided advance notice first by telephone and then by electronic mail to all the Lenders under the Credit Agreement and the Joint Co-ordinators under the Credit Agreement of the Debtors' intention to pursue a consensual prepackaged Chapter 11 plan, including the likely solicitation dates, filing dates, and confirmation timeline (a copy of the January 20, 2006 letter is Exhibit B to the Confirmation Motion). 10 Prior to the Petition Date, on January 24, 2006, the Debtors provided additional advance 11 12 13

at which time Mr. Goffman responded to Mr. Bennett's inquiries regarding the pre-packaged plan

process and timing and invited Newstart to participate in the restructuring. The lack of unanimous

notice by electronic mail of the commencement of these prepackaged Chapter 11 cases and the Debtors' intention to seek confirmation of the Plan to the Lenders and to the equity holders (a copy of the notice provided to the Lenders is Exhibit C to the Confirmation Motion). The Disclosure Statement, attached to the January 24, 2006 notice, required the Lenders and equity holders to deliver any objections to the adequacy of the information contained in the Disclosure Statement and confirmation of the Plan by electronic mail or facsimile to the Debtors before January 26, 2006 at 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time. The January 24, 2006 notice informed the Lenders and equity holders of the confirmation hearing scheduled for Friday, January 27, 2006 at 4:00 p.m. Pacific Time. The Debtors sent specific letters to counsel for Newstart on January 24, 2006 and January 25, 2006, summarizing and responding to telephone conversations and correspondence with Newstart's counsel, Mr. Andrew Rahl of Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C., regarding the Debtors' prepackaged plan process and restructuring alternatives proposed by Newstart (copies of the January 24, 2006 and January 25, 2006 letters are Exhibits D and E to the Confirmation Motion).

On January 24, 2006, at 9:36 p.m. Pacific Time, the Debtors commenced the solicitation of votes (the "Solicitation") regarding the Plan from the Lenders to the credit agreement dated September 14, 1999 (as amended October 18, 2004 and May 12, 2005, (the "Credit Agreement")). As part of the Solicitation, the Debtors transmitted copies of (i) the Plan, (ii) the disclosure statement relating

11

12

2324

25

19

20

21

22

2627

28

to the Plan (Docket No. 3) (the "Disclosure Statement"), and (iii) a ballot (a "Ballot") with which to vote to accept or reject the Plan (the Plan, Disclosure Statement, and Ballot, collectively, the "Solicitation Packages") to the Lenders. The Debtors established January 26, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) as the deadline (the "Voting Deadline") for Lenders to vote to accept or reject the Plan on account of their claims under the Credit Agreement (the "Bank Group Claims"). On January 25, 2006 at 1:43 p.m. (Pacific Time), Newstart returned a ballot (the "Newstart Ballot") indicating its rejection of the Plan. By executing the Newstart Ballot (Exhibit E to the Confirmation Motion), Newstart certified that, among other things, it had access to the type of information it deemed necessary to evaluate whether to accept the Plan. Prior to the Voting Deadline, 92.56% in amount and 90.91% in number of those holders of Bank Group Claims voting on the Plan voted to accept the Plan.

On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed the Declaration of Donlin, Recano & Company Inc. Certifying Voting On And Tabulation Of Ballots Accepting And Rejecting The Joint Prepackaged Plan Of Reorganization For Blue Bird Body Company And Certain Of Its Affiliates (Docket No. 5) (the "Tabulation Declaration"), which declaration sets forth the results of the Solicitation. On January 26, 2006, the Debtors filed the Memorandum Of Law In Support Of (A) Approval Of Solicitation Procedures And Adequacy Of Disclosure Statement And (B) Confirmation Of Joint Prepackaged Plan Of Reorganization For Blue Bird Body Company And Certain Of Its Affiliates (Docket No. 13) (the "Confirmation Brief"). On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed the Declaration of Wayne F. Hunnell in Support of Confirmation of Consensual Prepackaged Joint Plan of Reorganization of Blue Bird Body Company and Certain Affiliates (Docket No. 10), the Declaration Of Stephen Cooper In Support Of Consensual Joint Prepackaged Plan Of Reorganization Of Blue Bird Body Company And Certain Affiliates (Docket No. 11), and the Declaration of Neil Wright in Support of Consensual Prepackaged Joint Plan of Reorganization of Blue Bird Body Company and Certain Affiliates (Docket No. 12) (collectively, the "Confirmation Declarations"), and the Restructuring Agreement dated January 24, 2006 among the Debtors, the Lenders, and the equity holders of Peach County Holdings, Inc. (the "Restructuring Agreement").

5 6

4

7 8

9

10 11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

24 25

23

26

27

28

Also, at noon Pacific Time on the Petition Date, I conducted an emergency hearing to consider Debtor's request to conduct a confirmation hearing the next day. After hearing and considering argument from all counsel for the parties and other participants, the confirmation hearing was scheduled as requested.

On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed the Motion for Order Confirming Debtors' Consensual Plan of Reorganization, Approving Agreed Shortened Notice Thereof, Approving Prepetition Solicitation and Disclosure Statement in Support Thereof and Granting Related Relief (the "Confirmation Motion"). The hearing to consider approval of the solicitation procedures (the "Solicitation Procedures"), approval of the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement, and confirmation of the Plan was held before me on the January 27, 2006, at 4:00 p.m. (the "Confirmation Hearing"). Newstart Factors, Inc., a holder of a Class 1 Bank Group Claim under the Plan, filed its written objection to confirmation of the Plan on January 27, 2006 (Docket No. 30). I entered a separate order in accordance with Rule 9021 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure confirming the Plan on January 27, 2006 (the "Confirmation Order"), and directed that the Confirmation Order would be followed by these findings and conclusions of law (the "Finding and Conclusions"). Confirmation of the Plan was effective immediately upon entry of the Confirmation Order, and entry of these Findings and Conclusions does not alter in any way the effectiveness of the Confirmation Order and does not constitute a separate order for purposes of the appeal period, which commenced upon entry of the Confirmation Order.

Based upon my review of the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, the Solicitation Packages, the Tabulation Declaration, the Confirmation Declarations, the Restructuring Agreement, and the Confirmation Brief; and upon all of the evidence proffered or adduced at, memoranda filed in connection with, and arguments of counsel made at, the Confirmation Hearing; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor; in addition to the oral findings of fact and conclusions of law I stated on the record at the time of the hearing, which are incorporated herein as though fully set forth pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052; I hereby found and determined

in accordance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3020(b)(1), 7052, and 9014 in support of the Confirmation Order that:

II. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. Jurisdiction; Venue; Core Proceeding (28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2) and 1334(a)). This Court has jurisdiction over the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. Confirmation of the Plan, approval of the Disclosure Statement, and approval of the Solicitation Procedures are core proceedings under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A), (L), and (N), over which the Court has exclusive jurisdiction.
- **B. Judicial Notice.** The Court takes judicial notice of the docket of these Chapter 11 cases maintained by the Clerk of the Court and/or its duly-appointed agent, including, without limitation, all pleadings and other documents filed, and all evidence and argument made, proffered, or adduced at the hearing held before the Court.
- **C. Adequacy Of Disclosure Statement.** The Disclosure Statement contains "adequate information," as such term is defined in section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- D. Adequacy Of Solicitation. Votes for acceptance or rejection of the Plan were solicited from holders of Class 1 Bank Group Claims in good faith and in compliance with sections 1125 and 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3017 and 3018, and all other applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code under the Debtors' exigent circumstances. All procedures used to distribute the Solicitation Packages to the appropriate holders of Claims entitled to vote on the Plan and to tabulate Ballots were fair and were conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "Local Rules"), and all other applicable rules, laws, and regulations.
- E. Transmittal And Mailing Of Materials; Notice. The transmittal and service of the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, and the Solicitation Packages was adequate and sufficient under the circumstances. Adequate and sufficient notice of the Confirmation Hearing and time to object to the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement and confirmation of the Plan was given under the Debtors'

exigent circumstances and no other or further notice is or shall be required. Moreover, for the reasons stated on the record as incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052 the Debtors' filings to date are sufficient and the Court finds that cause exists to excuse the Debtors from any of the requirements of sections 341 and 521 of the Bankruptcy Code or any similar requirements. The expedited Chapter 11 procedures embodied in the Solicitation Procedures and the Confirmation Motion are appropriate under the circumstances and therefore in compliance with Local Rule 3016(d).

- F. Impaired Class That Has Voted To Accept The Plan. As set forth in the Plan, Class 1 Bank Group Claims are impaired; and, as set forth in the Tabulation Declaration, such holders of Bank Group Claims, as a class designated under the Plan, have voted to accept the Plan for all purposes pursuant to the requirements of sections 1124 and 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code. Thus, at least one impaired Class of Claims has voted to accept the Plan.
- **G. Burden Of Proof.** The Debtors, as proponents of the Plan, have met their burden of proving the elements of sections 1129(a) and (b) of the Bankruptcy Code by a preponderance of the evidence.
- H. Classes Deemed To Have Accepted The Plan. Classes 2 (Other Secured Claims), 3 (Other Priority Claims), 4 (General Unsecured Claims), 5 (Intercompany Claims), and 6 (Interests) are not impaired under the Plan and are deemed to have accepted the Plan pursuant to section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code. Such Classes shall be treated as unimpaired within the fullest meaning of section 1124 of the Code. The Court has not required the Debtors to provide notice of the Confirmation Hearing to holders of unimpaired claims or interests.
- I. Class Deemed to Have Rejected The Plan. No classes are deemed to have rejected the Plan.
- J. Plan Compliance With Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1)). The Plan complies with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, thereby satisfying section 1129(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (1) Proper Classification (11 U.S.C. §§ 1122, 1123(a)(1)). In addition to Administrative Claims and Priority Tax Claims, which need not be classified, the Plan designates six Classes of

Claims and Interests, as the case may be, in each such Class. Valid business, factual, and legal reasons exist for separately classifying the various Classes of Claims and Interests created under the Plan, and such Classes do not unfairly discriminate among holders of Claims and Interests. Thus, the Plan satisfies sections 1122 and 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.

(2) Specify Unimpaired Classes (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(2)). Article III of the Plan

Claims and Interests. The Claims and Interests placed in each Class are substantially similar to other

- (2) Specify Unimpaired Classes (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(2)). Article III of the Plan specifies that Classes 2 through 6 are unimpaired under the Plan, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (3) Specify Treatment Of Impaired Classes (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(3)). Article III of the Plan designates Class 1 as impaired and specifies the treatment of Claims in this Class, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (4) No Discrimination (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(4)). The Plan provides for the same treatment by the Debtors for each Claim or Interest in each respective Class unless the holder of a particular Claim or Interest has agreed to a less favorable treatment of such Claim or Interest, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (5) Implementation Of Plan (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(5)). The Plan provides adequate and proper means for its implementation, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (6) Non-Voting Equity Securities (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(6)). Article IV.B of the Plan provides that the certificate of incorporation or other organization documents of each Reorganized Debtor will be amended as of the Effective Date to the extent necessary to satisfy section 1123(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (7) Selection Of Officers And Directors (11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(7)). At or prior to the Confirmation Hearing, the Debtors properly and adequately disclosed or otherwise identified all individuals proposed to serve on or after the Effective Date as an officer or director of each Reorganized Debtor, and the manner of selection and appointment of such officers and directors is consistent with the interests of Claim and Interest holders and with public policy and, accordingly, satisfies the requirements of section 1123(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code.

(8)

appropriate and not inconsistent with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. **K.** Releases. Pursuant to section 1123(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code and Fed. R. Bankr.

Additional Plan Provisions (11 U.S.C. § 1123(b)). The Plan's provisions are

- P. 9019(a), the limited mutual releases, discharges, exculpations, and injunctions set forth in the Plan shall be, and hereby are, approved as fair, equitable, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, and their Estates, creditors, and equity holders. Such releases neither affect any claims, causes of action, or choses in action, nor abridge any rights of any party that is not a party to the Restructuring Agreement, including without limitation, Newstart Factors, Inc. Such releases also do not affect any claims, causes of action, or choses in action, nor do they abridge any rights, of any party to the Restructuring Agreement against Newstart Factors, Inc.
- L. Compliance With Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3016. The Plan is dated and identifies the entities submitting it, thereby satisfying Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3016(a). The filing of the Disclosure Statement with the Court satisfies Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3016(b).
- M. Compliance With Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3017. The Debtors have given notice of the Confirmation Hearing as required by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3017, as shortened pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(c)(1) and Local Rule 3016. The Solicitation Packages were transmitted to the holders of Class 1 Bank Group Claims pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3017(e), as shortened by this Court pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(c)(1) and Local Rule 3016.
- N. Compliance With Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3018. The Solicitation of votes to accept or reject the Plan satisfies Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3018. The Plan was transmitted to all creditors entitled to vote on the Plan, sufficient time under the Debtors' exigent circumstances was prescribed for such creditors to accept or reject the Plan, and the Solicitation Packages and Solicitation Procedures comply with section 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, thereby satisfying the requirements of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3018.
- O. Debtors' Compliance With Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(2)). The Debtors have complied with all provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Local Rules.

- P. Plan Proposed In Good Faith (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3)). The Debtors have proposed the Plan in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law, thereby satisfying section 1129(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code. In determining that the Plan has been proposed in good faith, the Court has examined the totality of the circumstances of record surrounding the formulation of the Plan. The Debtors filed their Chapter 11 cases and proposed the Plan with legitimate and honest purposes including, among other things, (i) the reorganization of the Debtors' businesses and (ii) the preservation of the going concern value of the Debtors' businesses and maximization of value to creditors and interest holders.
- Q. Payments For Services Or Costs And Expenses (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(4)). All payments made or to be made by the Debtors or by a person issuing securities or acquiring property under the Plan, for services or for costs and expenses in or in connection with the Chapter 11 cases, or in connection with the Plan and incident to the Chapter 11 cases, have been approved by, or are subject to the approval of, the Court as reasonable, thereby satisfying section 1129(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- R. Directors, Officers, And Insiders (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(5)). The Debtors have complied with section 1129(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code. The identity of the individuals who will hold the director and officer positions with the Reorganized Debtors after confirmation of the Plan has been fully disclosed. Such individuals' appointment to, or continuance in, such offices is consistent with the interests of holders of Claims against and Interests in the Debtors and with public policy. Additionally, the Debtors identified the identity and compensation of any insiders expected to be employed or retained by the Reorganized Debtors after the Effective Date.
- S. No Rate Changes (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(6)). The Debtors' Plan does not provide for any rate change that requires regulatory approval. Section 1129(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code is thus not applicable.
- T. Best Interests Of Creditors (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7)). The Plan satisfies section 1129(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code. The liquidation analysis attached as an exhibit to the Disclosure Statement and other evidence proffered or adduced at the Confirmation Hearing (a) are persuasive

and credible, (b) have not been controverted by other evidence, and (c) establish that each holder of an impaired Claim or Interest either has accepted the Plan or will receive or retain under the Plan, on account of such Claim or Interest, property of a value, as of the Effective Date, that is not less than the amount that such holder would receive or retain if the Debtors were liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on such date.

- U. Acceptance Or Rejection By Certain Classes (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(8)). Classes 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 are Classes of unimpaired Claims and Interests that are conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan under section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code. Class 1 has voted to accept the Plan in accordance with sections 1126(c) and (d) of the Bankruptcy Code. As set forth in the Tabulation Declaration, 92.56% in amount and 90.91% in number of those holders of Class 1 Bank Group Claims voting on the Plan voted to accept the Plan. No other Classes of Claims or Interests were entitled to vote on the Plan. Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code is inapplicable in these Chapter 11 cases because all of the requirements of section 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code have been satisfied.
- V. Treatment Of Administrative And Priority Claims (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)). The treatment of Administrative Claims, Priority Tax Claims, and Other Priority Claims pursuant to Articles II and III of the Plan satisfies the requirements of sections 1129(a)(9)(A), (B), and (C) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- W. Acceptance By Impaired Class (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(10)). Class 1 is an Impaired Class of Claims that voted to accept the Plan and, to the Debtors' knowledge, does not contain insiders whose votes have been counted. Therefore, the requirement of section 1129(a)(10) of the Bankruptcy Code that at least one Class of Claims against or Interests in the Debtors that is impaired under the Plan has accepted the Plan, determined without including any acceptance of the Plan by any insider, has been satisfied.
- X. Feasibility (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(11)). The projections set forth in the Disclosure Statement and other evidence proffered or adduced by the Debtors at the Confirmation Hearing or in support of confirmation of the Plan with respect to feasibility, including the Hunnell Declaration, (a)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the need for further financial reorganization of the Reorganized Debtors, thus satisfying the requirements of section 1129(a)(11) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Y. Payment Of Fees (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(12)). All fees payable under section 1930 of title 28, United States Code, as determined by the Court, have been paid or will be paid on the Effective Date pursuant to Article XII.B of the Plan, thus satisfying the requirements of section 1129(a)(12) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Z. Continuation Of Retiree Benefits (11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(13)). All obligations under existing retiree benefit programs maintained for the benefit of the Debtors' employees will continue to be honored by the Debtors. The Debtors, therefore are not rejecting any retiree benefits within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 1114 upon the Effective Date. Thus, the requirements of section 1129(a)(13) of the Bankruptcy Code are satisfied.
- Principal Purpose (11 U.S.C. § 1129(d)). The principal purpose of the Plan is neither the avoidance of taxes nor the avoidance of section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, and no party has objected to the confirmation of the Plan on any such grounds. The Plan therefore satisfies the requirements of section 1129(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- BB. Good Faith Solicitation (11 U.S.C. § 1125(e)). Based on the record before the Court in these Chapter 11 cases, the Debtors and their directors, officers, employees, equity holders, members, agents, advisors, accountants, financial advisors, consultants, attorneys, and other representatives have acted in good faith within the meaning of section 1125(e) of the Bankruptcy Code and in compliance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules in connection with all of their respective activities relating to the solicitation of acceptances of the Plan and their participation in the activities described in section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, and are entitled to the protections afforded by section 1125(e) of the Bankruptcy Code and the exculpation and injunctive provisions set forth in Article XI of the Plan.

1	CC. Satisfaction Of Confirmation Requirements. The Plan satisfies the requireme	nts
2	for confirmation set forth in section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code.	
3	DD. Retention Of Jurisdiction. The Court may properly retain jurisdiction over	the
4	matters set forth in Article X of the Plan and section 1142 of the Bankruptcy Code.	
5	EE. Releases, Injunctions, Exculpation, And Limitation Of Liability. The Court	nas
6	jurisdiction under sections 1334(a) and (b) of title 28 of the U.S. Code to approve the injunctions a	ınd
7	related matters set forth in Article XI of the Plan.	
8	FF. Waiver Of Stays Under Bankruptcy Rules. Under the circumstances, it	is
9	appropriate that the ten-day stay imposed by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3020(e), 6004(h), and 6006(d)	be
10	waived.	
11	###	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		